

**Decision Maker:** Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee

**Date:** 10<sup>th</sup> September 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

**Title:** **MATTERS ARISING**

**Contact Officer:** Steve Wood, Democratic Services Officer  
Tel: 020 8313 4316 E-mail: stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Mark Bowen, Director of Corporate Services

**Ward:** N/A

---

1. Reason for report

1.1 **Appendix A** updates Members on matters arising from previous meetings.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 **The Committee is asked to review progress on matters arising from previous meetings.**

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Policy/Financial/Legal/Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	Previous matters arising reports and minutes of meetings.

### Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy
  2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council
- 

### Financial

1. Cost of proposal: No Cost
  2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable:
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Democratic Services
  4. Total current budget for this head: £363,070
  5. Source of funding: 2013/14 revenue budget
- 

### Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 10 posts (8.55fte)
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Completion of "Matters Arising" Reports for PP&S PDS meetings can take up to a few hours per meeting.
- 

### Legal

1. Legal Requirement: None
  2. Call-in: Not Applicable:
- 

### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): This report is intended primarily for Members of the Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee.
- 

### Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A

<u>Minute Number/Title</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Update</u>
13 <sup>th</sup> March 2012		
206. Bethlem Royal Hospital Update	<p>It was resolved that:</p> <p>(1) a complete review of the physical complex of the hospital be recommended for security purposes;</p> <p>(2) a re-write of relevant staff training procedures be recommended for consideration as staff appeared to have been too relaxed at the time of the escape incident;</p> <p>(3) the monitoring of CCTV coverage be recommended for review so that what might be taking place on the hospital site at any given time can be readily identified and action taken;</p> <p>(4) consideration be recommended to whether CCTV coverage at the hospital site can be linked to the Council's CCTV system; and</p> <p>(5) the South London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust be requested to provide a briefing to the Committee's next meeting following the completion of investigations.</p>	<p>For recommendation (4) it will not be possible to link CCTV coverage at the Bethlem Royal Hospital to the Council's CCTV system without excessive cost. As reported at the Committee's meeting on 31<sup>st</sup> May 2012, an underground cable would cost in the order of £120k. The Council would not fund this and it was thought that the hospital management would not commit to this.</p> <p>Following the Bethlem Royal Hospital meeting in November 2012, a further meeting with SLaM representatives was held on 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2013 at the Civic Centre. This included the Acting Chief Executive of SLaM, the Medical Director of SLaM, the Leader of the Council, the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Holder and the former PP&amp;S PDS Committee Chairman. It was agreed to hold further meetings on a quarterly basis.</p> <p>SLaM representatives also attended the Committee's meeting on 18<sup>th</sup> June 2013. SLaM indicated that they:</p> <p>a) would follow up on whether Councillors could be elected to the Trust's Council of Governors;</p> <p>b) could provide a summary of findings to the Portfolio Holder from an independent review following the February 2012 incident. The Portfolio Holder asked that the independent review of the incident be provided to him before 26th July 2012.</p>

<b>27<sup>th</sup> November 2012</b>		
<b>58. Tackling Gangs in Bromley</b>	<p>It was resolved that:</p> <p>(2) a further report be brought back at the end of a 12 month period, setting out work undertaken in tackling gang related activity within the borough.</p>	A further report on gang related activity in the borough has been scheduled for the Committee's meeting on 5 <sup>th</sup> November 2013.
<b>22<sup>nd</sup> January 2013</b>		
<b>72C. Putting Victims First – More Effective Responses to Anti-Social Behaviour</b>	<p>Although the number of interventions would be reduced by the Draft Anti-Social Behaviour Bill as it removed certain Orders and condensed layers of intervention and noting that the schedule of short, medium and longer term objectives set out at paragraph 3.3 of Report ES13015 would be contained within existing budgets, it was nevertheless recommended that an assessment be made of any additional costs potentially falling to the Council - this assessment to involve engagement with other Council Departments (including Legal) and agencies such as the police.</p>	<p>Work is continuing to assess resource requirements as a result of measures outlined in the Draft Anti-Social Behaviour Bill. Until legislation is finalised and central government guidance received on the new arrangements (e.g. allocation of responsibilities, definitions etc.), it will not be possible to accurately assess the extent of any additional costs.</p> <p>It has been agreed to provide a report when such information becomes available. This has been tentatively scheduled for the Committee's meeting on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013. At this stage it is possible to advise that there might be some small cost around injunctions if the Council is given the power to use them.</p>
<b>26<sup>th</sup> March 2013</b>		
<b>88. Questions to the Portfolio Holder from Members of the Public and Councillors attending the meeting</b>	<p>Samantha Popely representing Bromley Victim Support indicated that she had been pressing RSL's in Bromley to contribute to the work of Bromley Van but there was no contribution to date. The Portfolio Holder advised that the bid to MOPAC included an element for Safer Bromley Van funding (Proposal 6). When the outcome of the Council's bid had been confirmed the Portfolio Holder indicated that a meeting would be arranged with Bromley Victim Support.</p>	The MOPAC bid was successful for Bromley Van.

<p><b>90D. Bromley Perpetrator Programme</b></p>	<p>RESOLVED that the Portfolio Holder be recommended to:</p> <p>(1) agree in principle that a perpetrator programme becomes part of the domestic abuse strategy and work plan, subject to funding from MOPAC and delivery partners; and</p> <p>(2) agree that a further report be brought back to Members with confirmation of the level of funding secured and the estimated annual cost of the programme.</p>	<p>A further report is scheduled to be brought back to the Committee on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013.</p>
<p><b>18<sup>th</sup> June 2013</b></p>		
<p><b>14. MOPAC Crime Prevention Fund – Bid Outcome</b></p>	<p>At a meeting with the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime on 9th May 2013 the Leader of the Council and the Portfolio Holder expressed their concern over the funding decisions by MOPAC. At the meeting it was agreed that it might be possible to re-allocate the £86k <i>Substance misuse, Intensive Support Programme</i> grant to ASB initiatives provided a new bid was submitted and approved. A new bid had been submitted but no formal MOPAC decision had been received on the re-allocation.</p> <p>It was also resolved <i>inter-alia</i> that:</p> <p>(2) a report be submitted in 12 months detailing progress on the funded projects.</p>	<p>Following submission of a new bid, grant funding has been provided and allocated to ASB initiatives.</p> <p>A report will be scheduled for the Committee’s meeting in June 2014.</p>
<p><b>15. Enforcement Activity - October 2012 - March 2013</b></p>	<p>RESOLVED that:</p> <p>(2) further reports be received every six months on activity related to the Portfolio Plan and enforcement under delegated powers.</p>	<p>A report on enforcement activity 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013 to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2013 is scheduled for the Committee’s meeting on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013.</p>
<p><b>16. Bromley Youth Council Manifesto 2013/14</b></p>	<p>Bromley Youth Council (BYC) would produce a mid term progress report in October 2013 (for the Mental Health manifesto campaign). An end of Year Report would also look at the impact of the campaign as well as reporting individual and group outcomes and achievements. This would be the subject of a briefing for elected</p>	<p>BYC to take forward.</p>

	members in early 2014.	
<b>18. Work Programme and Contracts Register</b>	A progress report on delivery against the MOPAC funded programme would be added to the Committee's meeting on 5th November 2013.	This item is scheduled for the Committee's meeting on 5 <sup>th</sup> November 2013.
<b>19. Member visits</b>	<p>For a visit to the London Ambulance Centre Control Room at Waterloo (to be arranged), the Chairman, Councillor Gordon Norrie, Councillor Douglas Auld, Councillor Peter Fookes, Dr Robert Hadley, Councillor Harry Stranger and Abdulla Zaman expressed a wish to attend.</p> <p>The possibility of visiting one or more Youth Centre Hubs and the Youth Offending Team had also been suggested and the Chairman, Councillor Gordon Norrie, Councillor Douglas Auld, Councillor Peter Fookes and Samantha Popely expressed a wish to attend.</p>	<p>A visit had been made to the Bromley Ambulance Station on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2013 and a visit to the London Ambulance Service Control Room at Waterloo will now be arranged.</p> <p>Arrangements will be made to provide the visits.</p>